UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,449	09/29/2005	Tatsuhiko Kodama	278547US0X PCT	2982
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			MAKAR, KI	MAKAR, KIMBERLY A
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,449	KODAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kimberly A. Makar, Ph.D.	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MON	ITH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,			
 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	June 2007.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20 and 22-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-20 and 22-23</u> are subject to rest	triction and/or election requirem	ent.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	· ·	ceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not rec	ceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) 🔲 Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application o Comply (PTOL-324).			

Application/Control Number: 10/551,449 Page 2

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

1. The addition of new claims 25-31 in the response to the office action dated 3/1/07 warrants this restriction.

2. Secondly, applicant is advised that amendments to claim 18 appears to be noncompliant with MPEP §714, where current status identifiers on claims are required, and any changes made to claims must be highlighted, wherein added text is underlined, and deleted text is struck through. While the status identifier of Claim 18 reads "currently amended" there does not appear to be any added or deleted text.

Additionally, formula (I) in claim 18 submitted 6/26/07, appears to be different than the Formula (I) from the claims dated 9/29/05. The hydroxyl groups extend off of the X and the first C of formula (I) in the instant claims (submitted 6/26/07), which is not their original location in the previous claim set. While it is assumed that this is a formatting error, applicant is respectfully advised to correct this error in order to circumvent a 112 1st new matter rejection.

Election/Restrictions

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

4. Diabetes, angina, myocardial infarction, hemoendothelial functional disorder, post-PTCA restinosis, hypertensivity pneumonitis, intestinal pneumonia, airway

Application/Control Number: 10/551,449

Art Unit: 1636

constriction, airway obstruction, eyeground bleeding, cerebrovascular dementia, cerebral infaction, cerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and hemorrhoid.

Page 3

- 5. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 7. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Each blood disorder listed in the claims represents a distinct disorder with different symptoms and etiologies.

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 25-31.

8. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Each blood disorder listed in the claims represents a distinct disorder with different symptoms and

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly A. Makar, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-4139. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM - 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,449

Page 5

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kam/08/24/07

/Daniel M. Sullivan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1636